## Appendix K: Sample ELP Data Review and Annual Progress Monitoring Form

ELP Annual Progress Review Form								
Date of Review	:							
Last Name:				First Name:				
2017								
SSID:				Birth Date:				
District:				School:				
District.								
Grade:		ACCESS for ELLs Tie		er: Da		ate ACCESS administered:		
			1				T	
Listening	Speaking	Reading		riting		eracy	Composite Proficiency:	
Proficiency:	Proficiency:	Proficiency:	Pro	oficiency:	Pr	oficiency:		
Identification In	nformation:							
	e survey used?	☐ Yes ☐ No						
	her district?							
ELP Screener Used?			□Yes			□No		
W-APT/OTHER:			□W-APT		□OTHER			
W-APT or other screener score:				1 - 11 - 11				
Listening	Speaking	Reading	Wr	iting	Literacy		Composite Proficiency:	
Proficiency:	Proficiency:	Proficiency:	Pro	_		ficiency:		
Kindergarten W		- " ·				5 0		
		Reading Raw	leading Raw Score:		Writing Raw Score:		core:	
Score:								
Oral Proficiency Score: Reading Skil		Reading Skills	s Description:		Writing Skills Description:			
Other accomment data used to identify		identify	Assessment:		Score:			
Other assessment data used to identify student:			A33E3	33111C11C.		Jeure.		
Language Development Assessment:								
	•							

Reading Comprehension:										
Writing:										
Other:										
LEP identific	ation Date (Al	M):	# Y	# Years identified as LEP:						
ELP Assessm										
ACCESS for E										
Current Year	<u>":</u>			Meets ELP Assessment Criteria for Exit?						
ACCESS for F	LLs Longitudi	nal Data Rev	iew	□Yes □No						
7100233 101 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7+			
Speaking										
Listening										
Reading										
Writing										
Literacy										
Overall										
	<u> </u>	l								
		eview of oth	ner assessme	ent data, format	tive, interim, s	ummative):				
Reading Con	nprehension:									
Writing:										
3										
Language De	evelopment:									
Classroom assessments and general academic achievement data:										
3.		- 02a. u								

Progress Review:
What are the student's strengths and weaknesses? (Review individual domain and composite scores: scale scores, PL, and raw scores, academic progress, classroom, school, district, and MontCAS data.)
What program/service adjustments can be made to address areas of weakness?
What other factors beyond ELP and academics may be impacting progress? (Social/emotional)
What other ractors beyond EEF and academies may be impacting progress. (Social, emotionar)
Exit:
☐ Student has met minimum criteria for ACCESS for ELLs (4.0 Literacy, 5.0 Overall)
Other academic a data has been considered and student is able to fully participate in and meet grade level academic expectations.
Other factors beyond ELP and academic considerations have been considered (parent/student input,
content teacher input, other social/emotional)
Annual Monitoring for Former LEP Year 1
What are the student's strengths and weaknesses? (Review individual domain and composite scores: scale scores, PL, and raw scores, academic progress, classroom, school, district, and MontCAS data.)
What program/service adjustments can be made to address areas of weakness?
What program/service adjustments can be made to address areas of weakness:
What other factors beyond ELP and academics may be impacting progress? (Social/emotional)
Finding:
Student continues to be able to fully participate in and meet grade level academic expectations on both the statewide assessment and classroom assessments.
<ul> <li>Other factors beyond ELP and academic considerations have been considered (parent/student input,</li> </ul>
content teacher input, other social/emotional)

Annual Monitoring for Former LEP Year 2		
What are the student's strengths and weaknesses? (Review individual domain and composite scores: scale		
scores, PL, and raw scores, academic progress, classroom, school, district, and MontCAS data.)		
What program/service adjustments can be made to address areas of weakness?		
What other factors beyond ELP and academics may be impacting progress? (Social/emotional)		
Finding:		
Student continues to be able to fully participate in and meet grade level academic expectations on both		
the statewide assessment and classroom assessments.		
☐ Other factors beyond ELP and academic considerations have been considered (parent/student input,		
content teacher input, other social/emotional)		